UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

MONROE MILLER,)	CASE NO. 1:12 CV 005
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO
V.)	
v .)	MEMORANDUM OF OPINION
CMHA POLICE DEPARTMENT,)	AND ORDER
)	
Defendant.)	

On January 3, 2012, *pro se* Plaintiff Monroe Miller, an inmate at the Northcoast Correctional Treatment Facility, filed the above captioned action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Cleveland Metropolitan Housing Authority Police Department. Plaintiff alleges that agents of Defendant used excessive force against him, causing injuries that required medical treatment. For the reasons stated below, this action must be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

A district court is expressly required to dismiss any civil action filed by a prisoner seeking relief from a governmental officer or entity, as soon as possible after docketing, if the court concludes that the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or if the plaintiff seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. §1915A; *Siller v. Dean*, No. 99-5323, 2000 WL 145167, at *2 (6th Cir. Feb. 1, 2000).

Case: 1:12-cv-00005-CAB Doc #: 3 Filed: 03/01/12 2 of 2. PageID #: 18

It is well established that section 1983 will not support a claim based upon a theory of

respondeat superior alone. Polk County v. Dodson, 454 U.S. 312, 325 (1981). Government entities

may be deemed liable for the unconstitutional actions of their agents only when those actions are

the result of official policies or customs. *Monell v. Dept. of Social Services*, 436 U.S. 658 (1978).

As the Complaint contains no suggestion that a policy or custom of Defendant resulted in the

deprivation of Plaintiff's federally protected rights, this case is appropriately subject to dismissal

for failure to state a claim. Elliott v. U.S. Dept. Of Housing and Urban Develpment, 43 Fed.Appx.

795, 797 (6th Cir. 2002).

Accordingly, this action is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915A. The Court certifies,

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good

faith.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Christopher A. Boyko

CHRISTOPHER A. BOYKO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

March 1, 2012

-2-